SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM

HYDROLOGY, GEOMOPHOLOGY, HABITAT LONG-TERM MONITORING 2000 PLAN

prepared by

Ron Bliesner Keller-Bliesner Engineering, LLC 78 East Center Logan, Utah 84321 (435) 753-5651

and

Vince Lamarra Ecosystems Research Institute 975 South Highway 89-91 Logan, Utah 84321 (435) 752-2580

February 20, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION SAN JUAN RIVER STUDY AREA LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES	. 1-3
CHAPTER 2: HYDROLOGY BACKGROUND	. 2-1
CHAPTER 3. GEOMORPHOLOGY METHODS	
Cobble Bar Characterization	3-2
RESULTS	. 3-3
Channel Morphology - River Transects	3-7
Cobble Substrate Characterization	3-7 3-14
Depth of Open Interstitial Space	
CHAPTER 4. WATER QUALITY	
METHODS	4-1
RESULTS	4-1 4-1
Water Chemistry CHAPTER 5. HABITAT STUDIES	4-5
HABITAT QUANTITY	

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.	Summary of Navajo Dam release hydrograph characteristics since the beginning of the research period, 1992 to 2000
Table 2.2.	Flow Statistics met in each year
Table 2.3.	Summary of flows for the research (1991-1998) and monitoring (1999-
. 6.0.0	2000) periods, San Juan River at Four Corners, New Mexico 2-5
Table 3.1.	San Juan River channel morphology monitoring cross-section locations by geomorphic reach
Table 3.2.	Peak discharge and Volume at Bluff (1991 - 1999)
Table 3.3.	Summary of cobble bar change for bars at RM 173.7, 168.4 and 132. 3-14
Table 3.4.	Summary of percent cobble substrate, pre- and post-runoff, 2000 for
	Reach 3-6 transects
	Cobble size distribution for the four surveyed cobble bars 3-19
Table 3.6.	Flow based Sediment Event Days and Turbidity based Sediment Days. 3-30
Table 4.1.	Water temperature monitoring locations and period of record 4-2
Table 4.2.	San Juan River water quality monitoring sites 4-3
Table 4.3.	San Juan River Monitoring Program water quality parameters 4-3
Table 4.4.	Water chemistry data for San Juan River at Archuleta Bridge 4-6
Table 4.5.	Water Chemistry data for Animas River at Farmington 4-7
Table 4.6.	Water Chemistry data for San Juan River at Farmington Bridge 4-8
Table 4.7.	Water chemistry data for La Plata River near Farmington 4-9
Table 4.8.	Water chemistry data for San Juan River at Shiprock Bridge 4-10
Table 4.9.	Water chemistry data for Mancos River near Four Corners 4-11
Table 4.10	,
Table 4.11	,
Table 4.12	,
Table 4.13	. Water chemistry data for San Juan River at Mexican Hat Bridge 4-15
Table 5.1.	Seven general categories of habitat types on the San Juan River 5-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	San Juan Basin Location Map Showing Geomorphic Reaches 1-2
Figure 2.1.	2000 hydrographs for Animas River at Farmington, San Juan River at Archuleta and Four Corners
Figure 2.2.	Hydrographs for the San Juan River at Four Corners 1991 - 1995 2-4
Figure 2.3.	Hydrographs for the San Juan River at Four Corners 1996 - 2000 2-4
i igule 2.5.	Trydrographs for the San Juan River at Four Comers 1990 - 2000 2-4
Figure 3.1.	Average relative bed elevation for Reach 3-6 transects, 1992-2000 3-4
Figure 3.2.	Minimum relative bed elevation for Reach 3-6 transects, 1992-2000 3-4
Figure 3.3.	Mean relative bed elevation for Reach 3-6 Transects, 1992-2000 3-5
Figure 3.4.	Minimum bed elevation averaged for Reach 3-6 Transects, 1992-2000 3-5
Figure 3.5.	Net change in Reach 3-6 Transects, 1992-2000
Figure 3.6.	Average relative bed elevation for Reach 1 transects, 1993-2000 3-8
Figure 3.7.	Bed elevation averaged for both transects in Reach 1, 1993-2000 3-8
Figure 3.8.	Lake Powell water surface elevation, 1986-2000
Figure 3.9.	Topography of cobble bar at 173.7, 1993-2000
Figure 3.10.	Topography of cobble bar at RM 168.4, 1993-2000
Figure 3.11.	· · · ·
Figure 3.12.	Topography of cobble bar at RM 131, 1997-2000
Figure 3.13.	Areas of scour and deposition pre- to post-runoff for the RM173.7
	cobble bar
Figure 3.14.	Area of scour and deposition pre- to post-runoff for the RM 168.4 cobble
	bar
Figure 3.15.	Area of scour and deposition pre- to post-runoff for the RM 132 cobble bar.
	3-16
Figure 3.16.	Scour and deposition composition at Reach 3-6 transects between pre-
Fig 0.47	and post-runoff, 2000
	Cobble percentage at each transect, 1992 - 2000
	July 7, 2000 survey with embeddeness markers
	July 6, 2000 survey with embeddedness markers
	July 7, 2000 survey with embeddedness markers
	July 7, 2000 survey with embeddedness markers
Figure 3.22.	
F: 0.00	173.7 expressed in cm
Figure 3.23.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar
Fig 0.04	173.7 expressed in d50 cobble size
Figure 3.24.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar
F: 0.0F	168.4 expressed in cm
rigure 3.25.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar
Figure 0.00	168.4 expressed in d50 cobble size
rigure 3.26.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar
	132 (M-6) expressed in cm

TOC-iii

Figure 3.27.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar 132 (M-6) expressed in d50 cobble size
Figure 3.28.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar 131 (M-4) expressed in cm
Figure 3.29.	Frequency Distribution of Depth of Open Interstitial Space for Cobble Bar 131 (M-4) expressed in d50 cobble size
Figure 3.30.	Area of Depth of Open Interstitial Space Exceedence for 173.7 3-27
Figure 3.31.	
Figure 3.32.	Area of Depth of Open Interstitial Space Exceedence for 132 (M-6) 3-28
Figure 3.33.	Area of Depth of Open Interstitial Space Exceedence for 131 (M-4) 3-28
Figure 3.34	Montezuma Creek Turbidity Data and Four Corners Gage Flow 3-29
Figure 3.35.	Shiprock Turbidity Data and Shiprock Gage Flow
Figure 4.1.	San Juan Basin Average Water Temperature Data4-4
Figure 4.2 Figure 4.3	Archuleta Maximum, Minimum and Average Water Temperatures 4-4 Montezuma Creek Maximum, Minimum and Average Water
J	Temperatures
Figure 5.1.	The distributions of habitat types (expressed as a per cent of total wetted
	area) in the San Juan River in 1999. Data are for RM2 to RM 180 5-2
Figure 5.2.	The spatial distribution of the major habitat types in the Sam Juan River
	from RM 2 to RM 180 in November and December 1999 5-2
Figure 5.3.	The spatial distribution of the major habitat types with the run habitats not shown in order to demonstrate more detail in the less dominant types. 5-3
Figure 5.4.	The distribution of backwater habitat area by reach and total for each mapping date where flows were between 800 and 1200 cfs 5-4
Figure 5.5.	The distribution of backwater habitat counts by reach and total for each
i iguie o.o.	mapping date where flows were between 800 and 1200 cfs 5-5
Figure 5.6.	The depth of sediment (meters) in backwaters displayed by reaches from the San Juan River